Practitioner's Docket No. U 015515-6 *PATENT*

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re application of: Valery Vasilievich OVCHINNIKOV
Serial No.: 10/528,332 Group No.: 2611
Filed: March 18, 2005 Examiner: J. Torres
For: METHOD FOR TRANSMITTING DIGITAL ELECTRICAL SIGNALS

Mail Stop AF
Commissioner for Patents
P. O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

RESPONSE UNDER 37 C.F.R. 1.116
EXPEDITED PROCEDURE
EXAMINING GROUP 2611

NOTE: To take advantage of the expedited procedure the envelope in which this paper is mailed must be addressed as shown and must also be marked "Box AF" in the lower left hand comer. Alternatively, this paper can be hand carried to the particular Examining Group or other area of the Office in which the application is pending, in which case any envelope in which this paper is place must be marked as in the bold type box above. Notice of September 20, 1985 (1059 O.G. 20-21).

SUPPLEMENTAL AMENDMENT OR RESPONSE AFTER FINAL REJECTION

This paper is being filed supplemental to the *Amendment or Response After Final Rejection* filed on May 8, 2009.

CERTIFICATION UNDER 37 C.F.R. 1.8(a) and 1.10*
*(When using Express Mail, the Express Mail label number is **mandatory**; Express Mail certification is optional.)*

I hereby certify that, on the date shown below, this correspondence is being:

MAILING

☐ deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to the Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks, P. O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Date: May 13, 2009

FACSIMILE

☐ transmitted by facsimile to the Patent and Trademark Office to **(571)-273-8300**

EFS-WEB

☒ transmitted electronically

Signature

John Richards
(type or print name of person certifying)

***WARNING:** *Each paper or fee filed by "Express Mail" **must** have the number of the "Express Mail" mailing label placed thereon prior to mailing. 37 C.F.R. 1.10(b).*
*"Since the filing of correspondence under § 1.10 without the Express Mail mailing label thereon is an oversight that can be avoided by the exercise of reasonable care, requests for waiver of this requirement will **not** be granted on petition." Notice of Oct. 24, 1996, 60 Fed. Reg. 56,439, at 56,442.*